1	CENTER FOR DISABILITY ACCESS	
2	Russell Handy, Esq., SBN 195058 <u>Mail</u> : PO Box 262490	
3	San Diego, CA 92196-2490 <u>Delivery</u> : 9845 Erma Road, Suite 300	
4	San Diego, CA 92131 (858) 375-7385; (888) 422-5191 fax	
5	phylg@potterhandy.com Attorneys for Plaintiff	
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	Coatt Johnson	Coco No. 2.17 ov. 02454 WHA
11	Scott Johnson,	Case No. 3:17-cv-02454-WHA
12	Plaintiff,	Plaintiff's Response to the Court's Order to Show Cause dated 3-29-19
13	V.	dated 3-29-19
14	Blackhawk Centercal, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability	
15	Company; <b>Starbucks Corporation,</b> a Washington Corporation; and Does	
16	1-10,	
17	Defendants.	
18		
19		
20	On March 29, 2019, this court denied Johnson's motion for summary	
21	judgment, holding that section 904.4.1 of the ADA Standards does not require	
22	any amount of "clear" counter space and that so long as the counter was built	
23	in compliance with the ADA Standards, section 904.4.1 has been met <sup>2</sup> and	
24	that the duty to maintain the accessibility of features (found in 28 C.F.R. §	
25	36.211(a)) does not require that the wheelchair accessible counter be kept	
26		
27		
28	<sup>1</sup> Order (Docket Entry 120), p. 4, lines 18-20. <sup>2</sup> Order (Docket Entry 120), p. 4, lines 6-8	

clear of merchandise to maintain any useable clear width.<sup>3</sup> Given that the facts are uncontested, the court asks why summary judgment should not be granted for Starbucks.

Johnson agrees that it would be a waste of the court's and the parties' resources to force another round of briefing given that the facts are uncontested and the issues well developed. Given the court's interpretation of the law, there is no reason why the court should not grant summary judgment to Starbucks and let Johnson seek appellate review.

If the court is inclined to stick with its March 29, 2019 ruling, then summary judgment should be granted to Starbucks. If, however, the court is inclined to add additional reasonings to its ruling, Johnson would respectfully request an opportunity to address those reasonings.

Dated: April 2, 2019

CENTER FOR DISABILITY ACCESS

By: <u>s/ Russell Handy</u> Russell Handy, Esq. Attorney for plaintiff

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Order (Docket Entry 120), p. 5, lines 1-22.